

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/155,676	01/04/1999	DAVID WALLACH	WALLACH=21	8997
1444	7590 07/02/2002			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			EPPS, JANET L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				D. 050 \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	2.0
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	33

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Application No. O9/155,676 Examiner Janet L Epps, Ph.D. Applicant(s) WALLACH ET AL. Art Unit 1635

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

- Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/155,576 Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants were informed on May 10, 2002 that the Amendment received 4-17-2002 was improper since Applicants amended the claims to recite the phrase "inhibits or decreases." This phrase raises new issues under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, since the terms are not mutually exclusive. In the instantly filed communication, Applicants amended claim 69 to recite the phrase "inhibits or increases," this amendment corrects this issue regarding claim 69, however it is unclear if Applicants intended to make this correction in claim 55 as well. Applicants were contacted by voicemail, regarding this issue, however to date, no reply has been made. This issue must be addressed since the entry of the 5-10-2002 amendment requires the entry of the 4-19-2002 amendment first, however as stated above the new issues raised by this amendment have not been properly addressed.